# Hardware implementation of Monte Carlo and RSM method for the optimization of cutting force during turning of NiTi shape memory alloy

## KOWALCZYK Małgorzata<sup>1,a\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chair of Production Engineering, Mechanical Faculty, Cracow University of Technology, Avenue Jana Pawła II 37, PL 31-864 Cracow, Poland

amalgorzata.kowalczyk@pk.edu.pl

Keywords: NiTi Shape Memory Alloy, Monte Carlo and RSM Methods, Optimization

Abstract. This study was conducted to understand the exact turning of the NiTi shape memory alloy and consisted of four stages: experimental work, function modelling using RSM method, Monte Carlo method optimization and hardware implementation of Monte Carlo method . This article has the following main objectives: to develop a framework for solving machining optimization problems using the Monte Carlo method and hardware implementation of MC method. The solutions presented in this paper are important from the point of view of practical solutions related to the prediction and optimization of the cutting forces components  $F_c$ ,  $F_p$  and  $F_f$  during turning of NiTi shape memory alloy.

#### Introduction

Cutting forces components which have an impact on the tool and on the workpiece causes a certain change in the position of the tool relative to the workpiece. Any displacement of the tool relative to of the workpiece occurring during machining, can adversely affect accuracy dimension of the machined surface. Prediction and optimization of cutting force before real machining can provide significant guidelines to the planning of turning process in particular such difficult to machine of NiTi alloys [1-4].

The shape memory materials are innovative materials with the high application potential. These materials can be used as the smart materials and multifunctional materials due to their memory shape and superelasticity properties [5-7]. But due to their specific properties NiTi alloys are known to be difficult-to-cut materials particularly by using conventional techniques. Their high ductility, high degree of strain hardening, poor thermal conductivity, very low "effective" elastic modulus and unconventional stress–strain behavior are the main properties responsible for their poor machinability [5,6,8,9].

In order to manufacture new products from difficult-to-machine materials, such as shape memory alloys, there is a need to search for more and more effective treatment methods that exceed technological barriers [10-12]. Therefore, an adapted process strategy, prediction and optimization of cutting force is very important when machining NiTi [2,3,13].

The ability to predict and optimization cutting force before machining has attracted great interest from many scientists, being the main goals of many research studies. The prediction and optimization of cutting force is currently determined by using various techniques such as theoretical models [14-15], FE method [4,15-17], the Taguchi procedure [1,2,11,17-20], response surface methodology (RSM) [13, 21-23], the Multi-Objective Ant Lion Optimizer MOALO [21] the multi-response TOPSIS method [3,19], artificial intelligence through the use of the artificial neural networks (ANNs) [15,2-25], genetic algorithms (GAs) [18] and fuzzy logic (FL) [26]. any research works show the use of these methods in the forecasting and also optimization of cutting force [13]. Researchers usually do not use only one modeling approach in their works, but look for

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under license by Materials Research Forum LLC.

a mutual compilation of the above strategies [18,21,23]. The benefits of using cutting force prediction methods include an increase in the productivity and competitiveness of the production process [1,2,27].

Analyzing the relevant literature regarding the prediction and optimization of machining processes, it can be easily noticed that the current trend is the use of RSM, ANNs, GAs, and the Taguchi procedure for these purposes. The author of this study note that despite the many application possibilities of the MC method [5,10], its application for solving the problems related to the prediction and optimization of machining has not been given much attention in the literature.

Taking into account the above literature review, this paper presents a procedure for model for prediction cutting components force ( $F_{f-}$  the feed force,  $F_p$  – the thrust force,  $F_c$  – the cutting force) in the turning of NiTi alloy ( $A_f = 60^{\circ}$ C) with PCD tool. The main objective is to develop a model based on response surface methodology (RSM) to the cutting force in terms of machining parameters such as depth of cut ( $a_p$ ), cutting speed ( $v_c$ ) and feed rate (f). The computational model enabled to select optimal machining parameters for minimizing cutting forces values. The generation of mathematical models was necessary for the subsequent optimization with the Monte Carlo method, the purpose of which was to find for which cutting parameters the minimum values of the cutting force components are obtained. The algorithm consisted of performing random draws of input parameters from specified ranges for depth of cut, feed rate and cutting speed in a loop.

The next the hardware implementation of the Monte Carlo method was written in the form of code in the software environment, which was uploaded to the microcontroller.

### **Material Properties**

The NiTi alloy used for the experiment was 57.88Ni-42.12Ti (wt%) obtained from Baoji Hanz Metal Material Co.,Ltd. (China). The diameter of the workpiece was 20 mm. The austenite finish temperature was,  $A_f = 60^{\circ}$  C. Table 1 shows the chemical composition (wt. %) of NiTi. The physical, thermal and mechanical properties of the materials of  $\beta$ -TiNi: Tensile Strength, Ultimate, 1364 MPa, Tensile Strength, Yield, 649 MPa, Modulus of Elasticity, 28 GPa, Thermal conductivity, 18 W/m·°C, Hardness, 231 HV, Density, 6500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, Structure (phase), hi-temp B2 [28-29].

β-TiNi		
Element	wt.%	at.%
TiK	42.12	47.15
NiK	57.88	52.85
Total	100	100

Table 1. The spectroscopy (EDS) analysis results.

### **Cutting tool**

The 80° rhombic insert of PCD with single-top corner, brazed tip and a positive rake angle was selected for turning of NiTi. The symbol of insert: CCMT 060202 ID5. The new cutting edge was used for each test sample. Each cutting insert was attached to the designated tool holder SCACR 1616K – 06S. The recommends cutting conditions for insert: depth of cut  $a_p = 0.08$ - 3.0 mm, feed f = 0.05 - 0.3 mm/rev;. The literature recommends cutting conditions during precise turning of NiTi alloy; cutting speed  $v_c = 10-50$  m/min; feed rate f < 0.2 mm/rev; depth of cut  $a_p < 0.5$  mm [6-9].

### **Experimental design**

Turning tests were performed in according Taguchi experiment design at three different cutting parameters (feed f, cutting speeds  $v_c$  and depth of cut  $a_p$ ); Taguchi L9 orthogonal array. For the cutting parameters in turning of NiTi, three factors and three levels, as shown in Table 2 [11]. The

Materials Research Proceedings 28 (2023) 1275-1284

parametric design of Taguchi is a very useful technique for elastic design since it provides a simple and systematic empirical effective design at a low cost. It is a method to design an experiment, as it creates the set of arrays with variable factors arranged in such a way that only significant variation is pointed out and the rest insignificant set of variations are neglected thus reducing the number of experiments [2].

Danamatans	Cada	Levels				
Farameters	Code	1	2	3		
Feed rate, <i>f</i> [mm/rev]	А	0.038	0.058	0.077		
Depth of cut, <i>a<sub>p</sub></i> [mm]	В	0.03	0.08	0.13		
Cutting speed, v <sub>c</sub> [m/min]	С	30	40	50		

Table 2. Cutting	parameters.
------------------	-------------

The following testing equipment was prepared: precise lathe (Masterturn 400), the workpiece (NiTi alloy), CCMT 060202 PCD insert, tool holder SCACR 1616K - 06S, Kistler dynamometer with DynoWere software to visualize measurements [Fig. 1].



Fig. 1. Experimental set-up for turning of NiTi.

# Method

This study was worked out in order to optimize the cutting forces components  $F_c$ ,  $F_p$  and  $F_f$  during turning of NiTi shape memory alloy and includes four main stages: experimental work, function modelling using RSM method, Monte Carlo method optimization and hardware implementation of Monte Carlo method. Fig. 2 shows the flow chart which constitutes the overall representation of the methodology developed in the paper. This research has the following main objectives: to develop a framework for solving machining optimization problems using the Monte Carlo method and hardware implementation of MC method.

Experimental work.

The following testing equipment was prepared: precise lathe, work piece (NiTi alloy), tool holder and insert, Kistler dynamometer. Turning tests were carried out in according to Taguchi experiment design (with different feed, cutting speeds and depth of cut). The values of the cutting force components were recorded by the dynamometer and then the cutting force components were in DynoWere software processed (Table 3).

Modelling.

The mathematical relationships between input data and the output parameter-response i.e. cutting force components ( $F_f$ ,  $F_p$ ,  $F_c$ ) Taguchi design was selected. The model for finding parameters of cutting force was appointed using the Response Surface Methodology algorithm.

Monte Carlo Method.

The generation of mathematical models was necessary for the subsequent optimization with the Monte Carlo method, the purpose of which was to find for which cutting parameters the minimum values of the cutting force components are obtained. The algorithm consisted of performing draws of input parameters from specified ranges for depth of cut, feed rate and cutting speed, in a loop.

Hardware implementation.

the Monte Carlo method was written in the form of code in the software environment, which was uploaded to the microcontroller.



Fig. 2. Flow chart for overall representation of the work methodology.

### **Results and Discussion**

Response surface methodology (RSM).

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a series of statistical and mathematical techniques useful for optimizing processes [1,30]. This method is a very effective tool for prediction and modeling the manufacturing problems. It provides more information with a small number of investigations. It is a research strategy to study the limits of input parameters and the emerging experimental statistical model for the measured response, by approximating the existing correlation between the response surface and input process parameters. The limit of the process parameters has to be defined in response surface method, and the first set of experiments was performed to identify machining parameters [30]. In this study, the cutting speed  $v_c$ , depth of cut  $a_p$  and the feed f were considered as cutting parameters for monitoring cutting conditions, and the cutting forces components are measured as a response variable (Table 3).

The mathematical model suitable for predicting suitable value is Quadratics model (Eq. 1) where y is a parameter value, describing the investigated physical phenomenon, i.e., the cutting force  $F_c$ ; b1, b2, ..., bi are constant coefficients; and x1, x2, ..., xi are factors, which influence the investigated parameter, by considering the full quadratics model as shown in Eq. 2 ( $F_f$  – the feed force), Eq. 3 ( $F_c$  – the cutting force ) and Eq. 4 ( $F_p$  – the thrust force).

$$y = bo + b1x1 + b2x2 + b3x3 + b4x4 + b11x12 + b22x22 + b33x32 + b44x42 + b12x1x2 + b13x1x3 + b14x1x4 + b23x3x3 + b24x2x4 + b34x3x4$$
(1)

The mathematical model was established by neglecting the insignificant coefficients of the cuttings forces components (Eq. 2-4):

$$F_f(f, a_p, v_c) = 1.6 + 60.15 \cdot f + 494.26 \cdot a_p - 0.82 \cdot v_c - 5596.04 \cdot f^2 - 3783.73 \cdot a_p^2 \cdot 0.003593 \cdot v_c^2 + 4826.64 \cdot f \cdot a_p + 10.27 \cdot f \cdot v_c$$
(2)

$$F_c(f, a_p, v_c) = -381 - 900.2 \cdot f + 2460.6 \cdot a_p + 19.4 \cdot v_c - 14727.8 \cdot f^2 + 7257.6 \cdot a_p^2 - 0.4174 \cdot v_c^2 - 55636.6 \cdot f \cdot a_p + 214.6 \cdot f \cdot v_c$$
(3)

$$F_p(f, a_p, v_c) = -24.2 + 1045.5 \cdot f + 1318.1 \cdot a_p - 1.228 \cdot v_c - 17431.4 \cdot f^2 - 4092.9 \cdot a_p^2 0.01768 \cdot v_c^2 - 3756.8 \cdot f \cdot a_p + 39.46 \cdot f \cdot v_c$$
(4)

The mathematical model was used to for prediction cutting components force ( $F_{f-}$  the feed force,  $F_p$  – the thrust force,  $F_c$  – the cutting force) in the turning of NiTi alloy ( $A_f=60^{\circ}$ C) with PCD tool by replacing the values of the machining parameters. The impact of cutting cutting parameters was examined using the developed model.

The information in Table 3 shows the result from the comparison between actual value and forecasting value which found that the forecasting values of mean of the feed force  $F_f$  has the maximum error of only 1.67%, for mean of the cutting force  $F_c - 3,93\%$  and for mean of thrust force  $F_p - 0.23\%$ .

Test no	Control factors		Measured value (Mean)		Predicted value RSM			% Error				
T CSt HO.	f	$a_p$	Vc	$F_{f}$	$F_c$	$F_p$	F <sub>fRSM</sub>	$F_{cRSM}$	$F_{pRSM}$	$F_{f}$	$F_c$	$F_p$
	[mm/rev]	[mm]	[m/min]	[N]	[N]	[N]	[N]	[N]	[N]			
1	0.038	0.03	30	3.10	32.70	14.20	3.07	31.42	14,17	0.92	3.90	0.23
2	0.038	0.08	40	14.40	73.70	40.80	14.36	72.01	40,76	0.26	2.29	0.09
3	0.038	0.13	50	7.50	67.50	43.40	7.45	65.41	43,36	0.63	3.09	0.10
4	0.058	0.03	40	2.90	108.50	21.30	2.86	106.81	21,26	1.39	1.56	0.18
5	0.058	0.08	50	21.80	53.30	48.50	21.75	51.20	48.46	0.23	3.93	0.09
6	0.058	0.13	30	19.50	121.30	67.60	19.47	119.98	67.56	0.16	1.09	0.05
7	0.077	0.03	50	3.20	169.00	26.50	3.15	166.89	26.45	1.67	1.25	0.17
8	0.077	0.08	30	20.50	66.30	47.50	20.47	64.98	47.46	0.16	1.99	0.07
9	0.077	0.13	40	26.30	118.80	61.70	26.26	117.06	61.66	0.17	1.46	0.07

Tahlo 3	Experimental	regulte
<i>iuule</i> s	. Ехрегітений	resuits.

Monte Carlo method.

The Monte Carlo method is often used in engineering, finance, statistics and other fields of science. Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of the applied MC method for determining the optimal (minimum) values of the factors as shown in Eq.2 ( $F_f$  – the feed force), Eq. 3 ( $F_c$  – the cutting force ) and Eq. 4 ( $F_p$  – the thrust force). The optimization task was solved using Mathcad software and hardware implementation. After optimization using the Monte Carlo method, the optimization results were verified experimentally.

Material Forming - ESAFORM 2023	Materials Research Forum LLC
Materials Research Proceedings 28 (2023) 1275-1284	https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644902479-138

The program implementing the assumptions of the Monte Carlo algorithm was written in the Arduino IDE environment, and then uploaded to the Arduino UNO board with the Atmega 328P microprocessor, which is responsible for performing the calculations necessary to carry out the optimization process. In the first part of the program code 'string' and 'int' variables were initialized. They were used to send numbers (in the form of characters) to the microprocessor, which will define the ranges of input parameters (depth of cut  $a_p$ , cutting speed  $v_c$ , feed rate f, and number of MC draws). Then 'float' values are declared, which will determine the output values (cutting components force  $F_{f}$  the feed force,  $F_p$  – the thrust force,  $F_c$  – the cutting force and the values of optimal cutting parameters ( $v_c$ ,  $a_p$ , f). Auxiliary variables have also been introduced, i.e. m - number of MC draws and time - variable responsible for calculating the time program duration. The 'random' function is responsible for generating pseudo-random numbers from a specific range. The program initialization was included in the void setup() function. The void loop function is defined in the following part() in which the main part of the code was placed. It contains the way in which the microcontroller reads character type values entered into its memory. The functions responsible for displaying the ranges of input parameters on the terminal were generated. Next a loop implementing the algorithm was placed in the function void loop() Monte Carlo in the final part. The code contains functions responsible for displaying the results received from the microcontroller on the terminal.



Fig. 3. Monte Carlo method algorithm for solving machining optimization problems [10]. Monte Carlo optimization result.

Material Forming - ESAFORM 2023	Materials Research Forum LLC
Materials Research Proceedings 28 (2023) 1275-1284	https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644902479-138

Table 4 summarizes the results of the calculations of the minimum values (rows 2, 9 and 16) of the functions described by Eq. 2, 3 and 4 and defined by  $F_f$  MC min,  $F_c$  MC min and  $F_p$  MC min for the case of three different numbers of MC draws (the first row): 10<sup>4</sup>, 10<sup>5</sup>, and 10<sup>6</sup> for Mathcad software and hardware implementation. For the values of the functions  $F_f$  MC min,  $F_c$  MC min and  $F_p$  MC min for all Monte Carlo trials, the corresponding drawing number m (rows 3,10 and 17), the values of parameters f,  $a_p$ , and  $v_c$  (rows 4–6, 11-13 and 18–20), the measure values of  $F_f$ ,  $F_p$  and  $F_c$  (rows 7, 14 and 21) and error of method are determined for Mathcad software and hardware implementation.

No.	Parameters/Factors	Results	s MC (Ma	athcad)	Hardware implementation			
1	MC[no.]	104	105	106	104	105	106	
2	$F_f^{MC}_{min}$	1.028	1.608	1.859	1.037	1.698	1.889	
3	m [no.]	4.3	4.787	9.326	4.3	4.787	9.326	
4	f[mm/rev]	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.077	0.077	
5	$a_p$ [mm]	0.031	0.03	0.03	0.031	0.03	0.03	
6	<i>v<sub>c</sub></i> [m/min]	32.64	30.064	30.042	32.64	30.064	30.042	
7	$F_{f measure}$	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81	
8	%Error	43.20	11.16	2.71	42.71	6.19	4.36	
9	$F_c M_{min}^{C}$	71.697	72.503	82.307	71.89	72.78	82.205	
10	m [no.]	3.876	8.194	1.169	3.876	8.194	1.169	
11	f[mm/rev]	0.038	0.039	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038	
12	$a_p$ [mm]	0.031	0.034	0.032	0.03	0.03	0.03	
13	<i>v<sub>c</sub></i> [m/min]	49.285	49.55	49.967	48.94	48.49	48.87	
14	F <sub>c</sub> measure	79.25	79.25	79.25	79.25	79.25	79.25	
15	%Error	9.53	8.51	3.86	9.29	8.16	3.73	
16	$F_p^{MC}_{min}$	6.229	6.13	7.75	6.34	6.18	7.83	
17	m [no.]	3.876	1.291	4.492	3.876	1.291	4.492	
18	f[mm/rev]	0.038	0.039	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038	
19	$a_p$ [mm]	0.031	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	
20	<i>v<sub>c</sub></i> [m/min]	49.285	49.766	49.792	48.83	48.26	48.95	
21	F <sub>p</sub> measure	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	
22	%Error	19.21	20.49	0.52	17.77	19.84	1.56	

*Table 4. Calculation results of the minimum value of Eq. 2, Eq. 3 and 4 by using the MC method.* 

Based on the mathematical model, cutting components force  $F_{f^-}$  the feed force,  $F_p$  – the thrust force,  $F_c$  – the cutting force were optimized using Monte Carlo method. The optimal solution is the following for :

For the feed force  $F_f$  (*Mathcad*): number Monte Carlo trials: 9.326 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_f = 1.859$  N, f = 0.077 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.03$  mm,  $v_c = 30.042$  m/min, %error of method: 2.71%.

For the feed force  $F_f$  (hardware implementation): number Monte Carlo trials: 9.326 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_f = 1.91$  N, f = 0.077 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.03$  mm,  $v_c = 30.042$  m/min, %error of method 4.36%.

For the thrust force  $F_p$  (*Mathcad*): number Monte Carlo trials: 4.492 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_p$ = 7.75N, f = 0.038 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.03$  mm,  $v_c = 49.766$  m/min, %error of method: 0.52%

For the thrust force  $F_p$  (hardware implementation): number Monte Carlo trials: 4.492 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_p = 7.82$ N, f = 0.077 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.03$  mm,  $v_c = 48.95$  m/min, %error of method: 1.56%

For the cutting force  $F_c$  (*Mathcad*): number Monte Carlo trials: 1.169 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_c$ = 82.307 N, f = 0.038 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.032$  mm,  $v_c = 49.967$  m/min, %error of method: 3.86%

For the cutting force  $F_c$  (hardware implementation): number Monte Carlo trials: 1.169 x10<sup>6</sup>,  $F_c$ = 81.95 N, f = 0.038 mm/rev,  $a_p = 0.03$  mm,  $v_c = 48.87$  m/min, %error of method: 3.73%

#### Summary

This paper proposes the Monte Carlo method to predicted and optimized cutting forces based on cutting parameters (cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut).

The procedure presented in this paper, which is devoted use of Monte Carlo Method for optimization of the cuting forces components ( $F_c$ ,  $F_f$ ,  $F_p$ ) during turning of NiTi alloys , allows for an easy and quick assessment of the obtained results related to the prediction and optimization carried out in the process of conventional machining of NiTi alloys.

The work demonstrates that this method is a quick and effective tool, that enables defining optimal cutting parameters to minimize the cutting force during turning of NiTi alloys.

The important findings are summarized as follows:

1) Prediction accuracy of cutting forces by MC method developed models is efficient both for implementation of MC method in Mathcad software like and hardware implementation of MC method.

2) Comparison of experimental and predicted values of the cutting forces show that a good agreement has been achieved between them (Table 4 ). The maximum method error was 4.36% for MC draws  $10^6$ . The method is more effective for more MC draws.

3) The Monte Carlo optimization show that the optimal combination of cutting parameters are found to be cutting speed of 50 m/min, feed rate of 0.038 mm/rev, cutting depth of 0.03 mm for the thrust force  $F_p$  and the cutting force  $F_c$  and cutting speed of 30 m/min, feed rate of 0.077 mm/rev, cutting depth of 0.03 mm for feed force  $F_f$ .

In this study, the optimization methodology proposed is a powerful approach and can offer to scientific researchers as well industrial metalworking a helpful optimization procedure for various combinations of the workpiece and the cut material tool. The procedure for the cutting force optimization during the turning proposed in this paper can be used to optimize costs and ensure maximum efficiency during applications of turning for NiTi alloy.

### References

[1] M. Kowalczyk, Cutting force prediction in ball-end milling of Ni-Ti alloy, Proc. of SPIE 11176 (2019) 11176650-1-11176650-12. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2536757

[2] R. Agrawal, N. Kumar, K. Parvez, A. Srivastava, M. Sarfaraz Alam, Optimization of cutting force via variable feed rate in dry turning lathe of AISI 304, Mater. Today Proc. 64 (2022) 1182-1187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.03.479

[3] S. Lakshmanan, M. Pradeep Kumar, M. Dhananchezian, Optimization of turning parameter on surface roughness, cutting force and temperature through TOPSIS, Mater. Today Proc. in press (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.09.209

[4] A. Tzotzis, N. Tapoglou, R. K. Verma, P. Kyratsis, 3D-FEM Approach of AISI-52100 Hard Turning: Modelling of Cutting Forces and Cutting Condition Optimization, Machines 10 (2022) 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines10020074

[5] M. Kowalczyk, K. Tomczyk, Procedure for Determining the Uncertainties in the Modeling of Surface Roughness in the Turning, Mater. 13 (2020) 1-14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13194338

[6] B. Dash, M. Das, M. Das, T.R. Mahapatra, D. Mishra, A concise review on machinability of NiTi shape memory alloys, Mater. Today Proc. 18 (2019) 5141-5150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.07.511

[7] E. Kaya, I. Kaya, A review on machining of NiTi shape memory alloys: the process and post process perspective, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 100 (2019) 2045-2087. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-2818-8

[8] H. Shizuka, K. Sakai, H. Yang, K. Sonoda, T. Nagare, Y. Kurebayashi, K. Hayakawa, Difficult cutting property of NiTi alloy and its mechanism, J. Manuf. Mater. Process. 4 (2020) 1-15. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmmp4040124 Materials Research Proceedings 28 (2023) 1275-1284

[9] Y. Zhao, K. Guo, J. Li, J. Sun, Investigation on machinability of NiTi shape memory alloys under different cooling conditions, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 116 (2021) 1913-1923. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-021-07563-9

[10] M. Kowalczyk, Application of the Monte Carlo method for the optimization of surface roughness during precise turning of NiTi shape memory alloy, Proc. of SPIE 10808 (2018) 58 108084P-1 - 108084P-9. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2501421

[11] M. Kowalczyk, Application of Taguchi method to optimization of surface roughness during precise turning of NiTi shape memory alloy, Proc. of SPIE 10445 (2017) 104455G-1 104455G-11. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2281062

[12] Y.Z. Zhao. K. Guo, V. Sivalingam, J.-F. Li, Q.-D. Sun, Z.-J. Zhu, J. Sun, Surface integrity evolution of machined NiTi shape memory alloys after turning process, Adv. Manuf. 9 (2021) 446-456. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-020-00330-1

[13] H. Zahia, Y.M. Athmane, B. Lakhdar, M. Tarek, On the application of response surface methodology for predicting and optimizing surface roughness and cutting forces in hard turning by PVD coated insert, Int. J. Ind. Eng. Comput. 6 (2015) 267-284. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijiec.2014.10.003

[14] Y. Sun, F. Ren, D. Guo, Z. Jia, Estimation and experimental validation of cutting forces in ball-end milling of sculptured surfaces, Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf. 49 (2009) 1238-1244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2009.07.015

[15] Y. Song, H. Cao, W. Zheng, D. Qu, L. Liu, C. Yan, Cutting force modeling of machining carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) composites: A review, Compos. Struct. 299 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2022.116096

[16] R.A. Mali, M.D. Agrahari, T.V.K. Gupta, FE based simulation and experimental validation of forces in dry turning of aluminium 7075, Mater. Today Proc. 27 (2019) 2319-2323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.120

[17] C.S. Sumesh, A. Ramesh, Optimization and finite element modeling of orthogonal turning of Ti6Al4V alloys: A comparative study of different optimization techniques, Eng. Solid Mech. 11 (2023) 11-22. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.esm.2022.11.002

[18] S.M. Abdur Rob, A.K. Srivastava, Turning of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Composites: Process Modeling and Optimization using Taguchi Analysis and Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm, Manuf. Lett. 33 (2022) 29-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2022.07.012

[19] N. A. Sristi, P. B. Zaman, N. R. Dhar, Multi-response optimization of hard turning parameters: a comparison between different hybrid Taguchi-based MCDM methods, Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf. 16 (2022) 1779-1795. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-022-00849-6

[20] N. Li, Y.J. Chen, D.D. Kong, Multi-response optimization of Ti-6Al-4V turning operations using Taguchi-based grey relational analysis coupled with kernel principal component analysis, Adv. Manuf. 7 (2019) 142-154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-019-00251-8

[21] B. Hamadi, M.A. Yallese, L. Boulanouar, M. Nouioua, A. Hammoudi, RSM-based MOALO optimization and cutting inserts evaluation in dry turning of AISI 4140 steel, Struct. Eng. Mech. 84 (2022) 17-33. https://doi.org/10.12989/sem.2022.84.1.017

[22] A. Thakur, V. Guleria, R. Lal, Multi-response optimization in turning of EN-24 steel under MQL, Eng. Res. Express. 4 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ac7a0c

[23] R. Kluz, W. Habrat, M. Bucior, K. Krupa, J. Sęp, Multi-criteria optimization of the turning parameters of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy using the Response Surface Methodology, Eksploat. i Niezawodn. 24 (2022) 668-676. https://doi.org/10.17531/ein.2022.4.7

[24] T. Palaniappan, P. Subramaniam, Experimental Investigation and Prediction of Mild Steel Turning Performances Using Hybrid Deep Convolutional Neural Network-Based Manta-Ray Foraging Optimizer, J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 31 (2022) 4848-4863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-021-06552-z Materials Research Proceedings 28 (2023) 1275-1284

[25] D. Peng, H. Li, Y. Dai, Z. Wang, J. Ou, Prediction of milling force based on spindle current signal by neural networks, Measurement 205 (2022) 112153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112153

[26] A. Alalawin, W.H. AlAlaween, M.A. Shbool, O. Abdallah, L. Al-Qatawneh, An interpretable predictive modelling framework for the turning process by the use of a compensated fuzzy logic system, Prod. Manuf. Res. 10 (2022) 89-107. https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2022.2064359

[27] E. Altas, O. Erkan, D. Ozkan, H. Gokkaya, Optimization of Cutting Conditions, Parameters, and Cryogenic Heat Treatment for Surface Roughness in Milling of NiTi Shape Memory Alloy, J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 31 (2022) 7315–7327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-022-06769-6

[28] Y. Kaynak, H.E. Karaca, R.D. Noebe, I.S. Jawahir, Tool-wear analysis in cryogenic machining of NiTi shape memory alloys: A comparison of tool-wear performance with dry and MQL machining, Wear 306 (2013) 51-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2013.05.011

[29] K. Weinert, V. Petzoldt, D. Kötter, Turning and drilling of NiTi shape memory alloys, CIRP Ann. - Manuf. Technol. 53 (2004) 65-68. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-8506(07)60646-5

[30] M. Subramanian, M. Sakthivel, K. Sooryaprakash, R. Sudhakaran, Optimization of cutting parameters for cutting force in shoulder milling of Al7075-T6 using response surface methodology and genetic algorithm, Procedia Eng. 64 (2013) 690-700. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.09.144